MICHAEL S. HUDSON, msh@kullmanlaw.com Attorney for Defendant, *Admitted Pro Hac Vice* **The Kullman Firm, P.L.C.** 200 6th Street North, Suite 704 Columbus, MS 39701 Telephone: (662) 244-8824

Fax: (662) 244-8837

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

ALVARO REHBEIN	ATTORNEYS' PLANNING		
Plaintiff,	MEETING REPORT Case No. 2:09-cv-998		
versus SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION	Honorable David Nuffer		
Defendant.			

1. PRELIMINARY MATTERS:

a. The nature of the claims and affirmative defenses is:

The Plaintiff, Alavaro Rehbein ("Rehbein"), a former employee of the Defendant Schlumberger Technology Corporation ("Schlumberger") asserts claims under Title VII for religious discrimination and harassment, national origin discrimination and harassment, sexual harassment, and retaliation, in connection with his employment at Schlumberger.

The Defendant, Schlumberger Technology Corporation ("Schlumberger"), denies that Rehbein is entitled to any relief. Among its defenses, Schlumberger asserts that Rehbein failed to exhaust his administrative remedies and was not subjected to an adverse employment action.

b.	This case is	not referred to a Magistrate Judge
		referred to Magistrate Judge
		under 636(b)(1)(A) or
		under 636(b)(1)(B)
		X assigned to a Magistrate Judge under General Order 07-001 and

X all parties consent to the assignment for all proceedings or

		one or more parties request reassignment to a district judge.
	c.	Pursuant to Fed. R.Civ.P. 26(f), a meeting was held on December 29, 2009 by telephone The following were in attendance:
		Sonny Olsen, counsel for the Plaintiff; and
		Michael Hudson, counsel for the Defendant.
	d.	The parties request / X do not request an initial pretrial scheduling conference
		with the court prior to entry of the scheduling order. An initial pretrial scheduling
		conference is presently set before Magistrate Judge <u>David Nuffer</u> on February 17, 2010
		at 11:30 a.m.
	e.	The parties have exchanged or _X_ will exchange by 2/15/2010 the initial disclosures required by Rule 26(a)(1).
	f.	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 5(b)(2)(E), the parties agree to receive all items required to be served under Fed.R.Civ.P. 5(a) by either (i) notice of electronic filing, or (ii) e-mail transmission. Such electronic service will constitute service and notice of entry as required by those rules. Any right to service by USPS mail is waived.
2.		COVERY PLAN: The parties jointly propose to the court the following discovery plan: Use rate paragraphs or subparagraphs as necessary if the parties disagree.
	a.	Discovery is necessary on the following subjects: Liability, damages, and defenses.
	b.	Discovery Phases:
		All fact discovery will be completed no later than August 31, 2010.
		Any expert discovery to be completed no later than August 31, 2010.
	c.	Designate the discovery methods to be used and the limitations to be imposed.

(1)	For oral exam depositions, (i) specify the maximum number for the plaintiff(s) and
	the defendant(s), and (ii) indicate the maximum number of hours unless extended
	by agreement of the parties.
	Oral Exam Depositions
	Plaintiff 10
	Defendant <u>10</u>
	Maximum no. hrs. per deposition
(2)	For interrogatories, requests for admissions, and requests for production of
	documents, specify the maximum number that will be served on any party by any
	other party.
	Interrogatories 30
	Admissions 30
	Requests for production of documents30
(3)	Other discovery methods.
	a) Records subpoenas, as necessary, to obtain documents from non-parties.
	b) Telephonic depositions may be utilized for some deponents, if agreed upon

- d. Discovery of electronically stored information should be handled as follows: Electronically stored information may be presented in digital form or, where practicable, in printed form.
- e. The parties have agreed to an order regarding claims of privilege or protection as trial preparation material asserted after production, as follows: Reasonable Protective Order(s) will be agreed to by the parties, as necessary, and will be submitted to the Court for approval.

3. AMENDMENT OF PLEADINGS AND ADDITION OF PARTIES

a. The cutoff dates for filing a motion to amend pleadings are:

Plaintiff: <u>3/31/10</u> Defendant: <u>3/31/10</u>

by all parties.

	b.	The cutoff dates for filing a motion to join additional parties are:				
		Plaintiff: <u>3/31/10</u> Defendant: <u>3/31/10</u>				
		(NOTE: Establishing cutoff dates for filing motions does <u>not</u> relieve counsel from the requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)).				
4.	EXP	EXPERT REPORTS				
	a.	Reports from experts, if any, under Rule 26(a)(2) will be submitted on:				
		Plaintiff: <u>6/30/10</u>				
		Defendant: 7/30/10				
		Counter reports: 8/16/10				
5.	OTH	OTHER DEADLINES				
	a.	Discovery cutoff: Fact 8/31/10 Expert 8/31/10				
	b.	Final date for supplementation of disclosures under Rule 26 (a)(3) and of discovery under				
		Rule 26 (e): 30 days before trial (but if any relevant information or document is				
		known during the discovery period, then it must be disclosed during the discovery				
		period).				
	c.	Deadline for filing dispositive or potentially dispositive motions and Daubert motions is				
		10/1/10				
6.	ADR	/SETTLEMENT:				
	Use s	eparate paragraphs/subparagraphs as necessary if the parties disagree.				
	a.	The potential for resolution before trial is: $\underline{}$ good $\underline{\underline{X}}$ fair $\underline{}$ poor				
	b.	This case should be referred to the court's alternative dispute resolution program for				
		arbitration: mediation:				
	c.	The case should be re-evaluated for settlement/ADR resolution on: 8/31/10				

7	TRIA	LAND	PREPA	RATION	FOR	TRIAT.
/ •	11117		INDIA	IMITOH	LOI	I ILLIAL.

a. The parties should have _____ days after service of final lists of witnesses and exhibits to list objections under Rule 26(a)(3) (if different than 14 days provided by Rule).

b. This case should be ready for trial by: 2/1/11

Specify type of trial: Jury X Bench

c. The estimated length of the trial is: 3 days

Signature and typed name of Plaintiff(s) Attorney

Signature and typed name of Defendant(s) Attorney

Date: 1 11212010

Date: / 1/4/ 2010

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

The Report of the Attorney Planning Meeting should be completed and filed with the Clerk of the Court thirty days before the date of the Initial Pretrial Conference. A copy of the Proposed Scheduling Order on the court's official form should be submitted in word processing format by email to ipt@utd.uscourts.gov. If counsel meet, confer, and

(i) file a stipulated Attorney Planning Meeting Report and

(ii) email a draft scheduling order in word processing format by email to ipt@utd.uscourts.gov 30 days before the scheduled hearing, the Court will consider entering the Scheduling Order based on the filed Attorney Planning Meeting Report.

If the Hearing is held, counsel should bring a copy of the Attorney Planning Meeting Report to the Hearing.

In CM/ECF, this document should be docketed as Other Documents - Attorney Planning Meeting.

More information is available at

http://www.utd.uscourts.gov/documents/ipt.html

Other Documents

Attorney Planning Meeting

Next- Clear,